

Rare event probability estimation

(Brescia, May 2004)

Abstract — The paper is devoted to the estimation of the rare event probability.

Index Terms— rare event, simulation, splitting method, probability estimation, sampling per mode.

1 INTRODUCTION

2 PROBLEM FORMULATION AND NOTATIONS

Consider the initial set $S \subset \mathbb{X}$ and target set $A \subset \mathbb{X}$. Suppose that we can simulate trajectories which begin from S , and for the each trajectory the probability to reach the set A is P (e.g. $P = 1.21 \cdot 10^{-8}$).

For the given level of error ϵ (e.g. $\epsilon = 10^{-9}$) the objective is to find an estimate \hat{P} of P , i.e.

$$P\{|\hat{P} - P| \geq \epsilon\} \leq \epsilon.$$

Consider the standard Monte-Carlo method. Let's we have N trajectories which start from S with some initial distribution P_0 . For each of them we define the random values \hat{P}_i , $i = 1, \dots, N$ which are i.i.d. and equal to 1 with probability P or 0 with probability $1 - P$ depending on trajectory achievement of set A or not. We can use the estimate

$$\hat{P} := \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \hat{P}_i.$$

By Hoeffding's inequality we need to simulate

$$N \geq \frac{\ln 2 - \ln \epsilon}{2\epsilon^2}$$

trajectories then the level of estimation error of \hat{P} is less than ϵ , e.g.

$$\text{if } \epsilon = 10^{-3} \text{ then } N = 3\,800\,500,$$

$$\text{if } \epsilon = 10^{-4} \text{ then } N = 4.9517 \cdot 10^8,$$

$$\text{if } \epsilon = 10^{-9} \text{ then } N = 1.0708 \cdot 10^{19}.$$

In the last case there is no real possibility to simulate this huge amount of trajectories. But our objective is the same: we need to estimate P with the given level of error ϵ . To solve the problem we need to made additional assumptions.

Let $\{\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P\}$ be a probability space, Ω be a set of all possible trajectories ω beginning from S , \mathcal{F} be a sigma-algebra of all Borel sets of Ω ,

$B_0 = \{S\} = \{b_{0,k}, k \in I_X\}$, $I_X = 1$, $b_{0,1} = S$, $M \geq 0$, $B_{M+1} = A = \{b_{M+1,1}\}$ and for $j = 1, \dots, M$

$$B_j = \{b_{j,k} : k \in I_j, |I_j| < \infty, b_{j,k} \in \mathbb{X}, b_{j,k} \cap_{k' \in I_j, k' \neq k} b_{j,k'} = \emptyset, b_{j,k} \cap \cup_{l \in I_{j+1}} b_{j+1,l} = \emptyset,$$

$\forall \omega$ which achieve some point $\delta_{j+1,k} \in \cup_{l \in I_{j+1}} b_{j+1,l}$ cross before some point $\delta_{j,k} \in \cup_{l \in I_j} b_{j,l}$.

Note, for simplification one can consider the case when $b_{j,k} = \{\delta_{j,k}\}$, $\forall j, k$.

Let's $j \leq M + 1$. Denote

$$s_{k_1 \dots k_j} = \{\omega : \forall i = 1, \dots, j \exists \delta_{i,k_i} \in \omega \cap b_{i,k_i}\},$$

$$p_{k_1 \dots k_j} = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } P(s_{k_1 \dots k_j}) = 0, \\ P(\cup_{k_{j+1} \in I_{j+1}} \dots \cup_{k_{M+1} \in I_{M+1}} s_{k_1 \dots k_j, k_{j+1} \dots k_{M+1}} | s_{k_1 \dots k_j}), & \text{if } P(s_{k_1 \dots k_j}) > 0 \end{cases}$$

$$S_j = \cup_{k_1 \in I_1} \dots \cup_{k_j \in I_j} s_{k_1 \dots k_j}.$$

and $\forall j > 1$

$$P_{j/j-1} = \max_{k_1 \in I_1} \dots \max_{k_{j-1} \in I_{j-1}} \sum_{k_j \in I_j, P(s_{k_1 \dots k_{j-1}}) > 0} P(s_{k_1 \dots k_j}) / P(s_{k_1 \dots k_{j-1}}),$$

$$P_{1/0} = \sum_{k_1 \in I_1, P(s_{k_1}) > 0} P(s_{k_1}),$$

$$P_{j,\min} = \min\{P(s_{k_1 \dots k_j}) / P(s_{k_1 \dots k_{j-1}}) : P(s_{k_1 \dots k_j}) > 0, k_1 \in I_1, \dots, k_j \in I_j\},$$

$$P_{1,\min} = \min\{P(s_{k_1}) : P(s_{k_1}) > 0, k_1 \in I_1\},$$

$$\bar{P}_j = P_{j,\min} / \max\{P(s_{k_1 \dots k_j}) / P(s_{k_1 \dots k_{j-1}}) : k_1 \in I_1, \dots, k_j \in I_j\},$$

$$p_{1,\max} = P_{1,\min} / \max\{P(s_{k_1}) : k_1 \in I_1\},$$

$$p_{j,\min} = \min\{p_{k_1 \dots k_j} : k_1 \in I_1, \dots, k_j \in I_j, p_{k_1 \dots k_j} > 0\},$$

$$\bar{p}_j = p_{j,\min} / \max\{p_{k_1 \dots k_j} : k_1 \in I_1, \dots, k_j \in I_j\}.$$

Note that

$$p_{k_1 \dots k_j} = P\{\omega : \omega \in s_{k_1 \dots k_j} \text{ and trajectory } \omega \text{ achieve set } A\}$$

$$P = \sum_{k_1 \in I_1} P(s_{k_1}) p_{k_1} = \sum_{k_1 \in I_1} P(s_{k_1}) \sum_{k_2 \in I_2} (P(s_{k_1 k_2}) / P(s_{k_1})) p_{k_1 k_2} = \dots$$

MAIN ASSUMPTION

(A) if $P(s_{k_1 \dots k_j}) > 0$ $j > 1$, $k_1 \in I_1, \dots, k_j \in I_j$, $\Delta', \Delta'' \subset s_{k_1 \dots k_j} : P(\Delta') = P(\Delta'') > 0$

then $\forall \bar{\Delta} \subset s_{k_1 \dots k_{j-1}} : P(\bar{\Delta}) > 0$

$$P(\Delta' | \bar{\Delta}) = P(\Delta'' | \bar{\Delta}).$$

3 ALGORITHM AND UNBIASEDNESS

ALGORITHM OF SIMULATION

1. Let's start $N > 0$ trajectories from S with uniform distribution,
 $M \geq 0, R_j > 0, R_j \in \mathbb{N}, j = 1, \dots, M$.
2. $j = 1$.
3. To kill all trajectories which $\notin S_j$.
4. Each of all rest trajectories ω_t cross the set B_j in some point $\delta_{j,k_t} \in b_{j,k_t}$. For all ω_t splitting $R_j - 1$ times the trajectory ω_t uniformly on b_{j,k_t} . We have got the new $R_j - 1$ trajectories for each of all rest trajectories.
5. If $j < M$ then $j = j + 1$ and GOTO step 3.

ALGORITHM OF ESTIMATION

$$\hat{P} = \frac{N_A}{NR_1 \cdot R_M}$$

where N_A is equal to all number of trajectories which achieve the set A .

Note that by the algorithm of simulation

$$\hat{P} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \hat{P}_i$$

where \hat{P}_i for each of $N > 0$ starting trajectories i is a fraction of the number of its sub-trajectories (include some self) which achieve the set A to the all number of its sub-trajectories. Note, if $\hat{P}_i > 0$ then

$$\hat{P}_i = \frac{1}{R_1} \sum_{l=1}^{R_1} \hat{P}_{il},$$

where \hat{P}_{il} are equal to 1 or 0 depending on the achievement l -th sub-trajectory of set A or not.

As done in [1] \hat{P} is unbiased since

$$E(\hat{P}) = E\left(\frac{N_A}{NR_1 \cdot R_M}\right) = \frac{1}{NR_1 \cdot R_M} \sum_{k_0=1}^N \sum_{k_1=1}^{R_1} \cdot \sum_{k_M=1}^{R_M} E(\mathbf{1}_{k_0} \mathbf{1}_{k_0 k_1} \dots \mathbf{1}_{k_0 \dots k_M}) = P.$$

4 ESTIMATION ERROR, CASE $M = 1$

First consider the special case $M = 1$.

In this case we have

$$B_1 = \{b_k, k \in I_1\},$$

$$P = \sum_{k \in I_1} P(s_k) p_k \quad \text{and} \quad P_1 = P_{1/0} = \sum_{k \in I_1} P(s_k).$$

Note that

$$P(s_k) = P\{\omega : \omega \text{ cross } B_1 \text{ first time in the point } \delta_k \in b_k\}.$$

Let $\bar{\Omega}_0 = \{\omega_t, t = 1, \dots, N\}$ be a set of our N initial trajectories, $T_k, k \in I_1$ be the set of indexes t of trajectories which cross B_1 first time in the point $\delta_k \in b_k$. Denote $N_k = |T_k|, k \in I_1$. N_k is a random variable.

Lemma 1: Let's $\beta > 0$.

$$P\{|N_k - NP(s_k)| \geq \beta N\} \leq 2e^{-2N\beta^2}, \quad \forall k \in I_1.$$

Proof: Let's $v_{tk}, t = 1, \dots, N, k \in I_1$, are random values which are equal 1 when $t \in T_k$ or 0 when $t \notin T_k$. $\forall k \{v_{tk}\}_{t=1}^N$ i.i.d. and $P\{v_{tk} = 1\} = P(s_k)$. Hence by Hoeffding's inequality we have

$$P\left\{\left|\frac{1}{N} \sum_{t=1}^N v_{tk} - P(s_k)\right| \geq \beta\right\} \leq 2e^{-2N\beta^2}$$

but by definition

$$\sum_{t=1}^N v_{tk} = \sum_{t \in T_k} v_{tk} = N_k.$$

Lemma 2: Let's $\beta > 0, \gamma > 0, \bar{P} > 0, \bar{T}$ is a random subset of $\{1, 2, \dots, N\}$, $\hat{P}_{il}, i \in \bar{T}, l = 1, \dots, R_1$ are conditionally on \bar{T} i.i.d. random values which are equal to 1 with probability p or 0 with probability $1 - p$,

$$\bar{S} = \sum_{i \in \bar{T}} \sum_{l=1}^{R_1} \hat{P}_{il}.$$

A: If $\gamma \bar{P} \geq 3\beta$ then

$$P\left\{\left|\frac{\frac{1}{N\bar{P}R_1}\bar{S} - p}{p}\right| \geq \gamma \left|\frac{|\bar{T}|}{N} - \bar{P}\right| \leq \beta\right\} \leq 2e^{-2R_1N \frac{p^2\gamma\bar{P}}{1+\bar{P}/\beta}}.$$

B: If $\gamma \bar{P} \geq 4\beta$

$$P\left\{\left|\frac{\frac{1}{N\bar{P}R_1}\bar{S} - p}{p}\right| \geq \gamma \left|\frac{|\bar{T}|}{N} - \bar{P}\right| \leq \beta\right\} \leq 2e^{-R_1N \frac{p^2\gamma^2\bar{P}^2}{\frac{1}{4}\gamma\bar{P} + \bar{P}}} \leq 2e^{-4R_1N \frac{p^2\gamma^2\bar{P}}{\gamma+4}}.$$

Proof:

$$\mathbb{P}\left\{\left|\frac{1}{N\bar{P}R_1}\bar{S}-p\right|\geq\gamma p\left|\left|\frac{|\bar{T}|}{N}-\bar{P}\right|\leq\beta\right\}=\sum_{T_k:\left|\frac{|T_k|}{N}-\bar{P}\right|\leq\beta}\mathbb{P}\{T_k\}\mathbb{P}\left\{\left|\frac{1}{N\bar{P}R_1}\sum_{i\in T_k}\sum_{l=1}^{R_1}\hat{P}_{il}-p\right|\geq\gamma p\left|T_k\right\}.$$

Note that

$$\sum_{T_k:\left|\frac{|T_k|}{N}-\bar{P}\right|\leq\beta N}\mathbb{P}\{T_k\}\leq 1.$$

Since

$$\mathbb{P}\left\{\left|\frac{1}{N\bar{P}R_1}\bar{S}-p\right|\geq\gamma p\left|\bar{T}\right\}=\mathbb{P}\left\{\frac{1}{N\bar{P}R_1}\bar{S}-p\geq\gamma p\left|\bar{T}\right\}+\mathbb{P}\left\{\frac{1}{N\bar{P}R_1}\bar{S}-p\leq-\gamma p\left|\bar{T}\right\}.$$

we consider this two items separately.

First item:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{P}\left\{\frac{1}{N\bar{P}R_1}\bar{S}-p\geq\gamma p\left|\bar{T}\right\}&=\mathbb{P}\left\{\frac{1}{R_1}\bar{S}-pN\bar{P}\geq p\gamma N\bar{P}\left|\bar{T}\right\}=\\&=\mathbb{P}\left\{\frac{1}{R_1}\bar{S}-p|\bar{T}|\geq p\gamma N\bar{P}+p(N\bar{P}-|\bar{T}|)\left|\bar{T}\right\}\leq\\&\mathbb{P}\left\{\frac{1}{|\bar{T}|R_1}\bar{S}-p\geq p\frac{\gamma N\bar{P}+(N\bar{P}-|\bar{T}|)}{|\bar{T}|}\left|\bar{T}\right\}\leq\end{aligned}$$

by Hoeffding's inequality

$$\leq e^{-2|\bar{T}|R_1(p\frac{\gamma N\bar{P}+(N\bar{P}-|\bar{T}|)}{|\bar{T}|})^2}\leq e^{-2R_1p^2\gamma N\bar{P}\frac{\gamma N\bar{P}-2|N\bar{P}-|\bar{T}||}{|\bar{T}|}}.$$

Second item:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{P}\left\{\frac{1}{N\bar{P}R_1}\bar{S}-p\leq-\gamma p\left|\bar{T}\right\}&=\mathbb{P}\left\{\frac{1}{R_1}\bar{S}-pN\bar{P}\leq-p\gamma N\bar{P}\left|\bar{T}\right\}=\\&=\mathbb{P}\left\{\frac{1}{R_1}\bar{S}-p|\bar{T}|\leq-p\gamma N\bar{P}+p(N\bar{P}-|\bar{T}|)\left|\bar{T}\right\}\leq\\&\mathbb{P}\left\{\frac{1}{|\bar{T}|R_1}\bar{S}-p\leq p\frac{-\gamma N\bar{P}+(N\bar{P}-|\bar{T}|)}{|\bar{T}|}\left|\bar{T}\right\}\leq\end{aligned}$$

by Hoeffding's inequality and condition of Theorem

$$\leq e^{-2|\bar{T}|R_1(p\frac{-\gamma N\bar{P}+(N\bar{P}-|\bar{T}|)}{|\bar{T}|})^2}\leq e^{-2R_1p^2\gamma N\bar{P}\frac{\gamma N\bar{P}-2|N\bar{P}-|\bar{T}||}{|\bar{T}|}}.$$

Thus we have

$$A:\mathbb{P}\left\{\left|\frac{1}{N\bar{P}R_1}\bar{S}-p\right|\geq\gamma p\left|\left|\frac{|\bar{T}|}{N}-\bar{P}\right|\leq\beta\right\}\leq 2e^{-2R_1p^2\gamma N\bar{P}\frac{\beta N}{N\bar{P}+\beta N}}\leq 2e^{-2R_1N\frac{p^2\gamma\bar{P}}{1+\bar{P}/\beta}},$$

$$B : \mathbb{P}\left\{\left|\frac{1}{N\bar{P}R_1}\bar{S}-p\right| \geq \gamma p \mid \left|\frac{|\bar{T}|}{N}-\bar{P}\right| \leq \beta\right\} \leq 2e^{-2R_1p^2\gamma N\bar{P}\frac{\frac{1}{2}\gamma N\bar{P}}{N\bar{P}+\beta N}} \leq 2e^{-R_1N\frac{p^2\gamma^2\bar{P}^2}{4\gamma\bar{P}+\bar{P}}} \leq 2e^{-4R_1N\frac{p^2\gamma^2\bar{P}}{\gamma+4}}.$$

Theorem 1: If Assumption **(A)** holds and

$$A : N \geq 9 \frac{\ln 2 - \frac{1}{2} \ln \epsilon}{\alpha^2 \bar{p}_1^2 P_{1,\min}^2} \quad \text{and} \quad NR_1 \geq \frac{(\ln 2 - \frac{1}{2} \ln \epsilon)(\alpha \bar{p}_1 + 3\bar{P}_1^{-1})}{\alpha^2 \bar{p}_1^2 P_{1,\min} p_{1,\min}^2}$$

or

$$B : N \geq 8 \frac{2 \ln 2 - \ln \epsilon}{\alpha^2 \bar{p}_1^2 P_{1,\min}^2} \quad \text{and} \quad NR_1 \geq \frac{(2 \ln 2 - \ln \epsilon)(\alpha \bar{p}_1 + 4)}{4\alpha^2 \bar{p}_1^2 P_{1,\min} p_{1,\min}^2}$$

then

$$\mathbb{P}\left\{\left|\frac{\hat{P}-P}{P}\right| \geq \alpha\right\} \leq \epsilon.$$

Remind that

$$P_{1,\min} = \min\{P(s_{k_1}) : P(s_{k_1}) > 0, k_1 \in I_1\}, \quad \bar{P}_1 = P_{1,\min} / \max\{P(s_{k_1}) : k_1 \in I_1\},$$

$$p_{1,\min} = \min\{p_{k_1} : k_1 \in I_1, p_{k_1} > 0\}, \quad \bar{p}_1 = p_{1,\min} / \max\{p_{k_1} : k_1 \in I_1\}.$$

Proof:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}\left\{\left|\frac{\hat{P}-P}{P}\right| \geq \alpha\right\} &= \mathbb{P}\left\{\left|\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \hat{P}_i - P\right| \geq P\alpha\right\} = \mathbb{P}\left\{\left|\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \hat{P}_i - \sum_{k \in I_1} P(s_k)p_k\right| \geq P\alpha\right\} = \\ &= \mathbb{P}\left\{\left|\sum_{k \in I_1} P(s_k) \left(\frac{1}{NP(s_k)} \sum_{i \in T_k} \hat{P}_i - p_k\right)\right| \geq P\alpha\right\} \leq \mathbb{P}\left\{P_1 \max_{k \in I_1} \left|\frac{1}{NP(s_k)} \sum_{i \in T_k} \hat{P}_i - p_k\right| \geq P\alpha\right\} \leq \\ &\leq \mathbb{P}\left\{\max_{k \in I_1} \frac{1}{p_{\max}} \left|\frac{1}{NP(s_k)} \sum_{i \in T_k} \hat{P}_i - p_k\right| \geq \frac{P}{P_1 p_{\max}} \alpha\right\} \leq \mathbb{P}\left\{\max_{k \in I_1} \frac{1}{p_k} \left|\frac{1}{NP(s_k)} \sum_{i \in T_k} \hat{P}_i - p_k\right| \geq \alpha \bar{p}_1\right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Here we use notation $p_{\max} = \max\{p_{k_1} : k_1 \in I_1\}$.

Let's denote $\beta = \frac{1}{3}\alpha\bar{p}_1 P_{1,\min}$, for the case A or $\beta = \frac{1}{4}\alpha\bar{p}_1 P_{1,\min}$ for B. Define the random variable

$$k_m = \operatorname{argmax} \left\{ \max_{k \in I_1} \left| \frac{1}{NP(s_k)} \sum_{i \in T_k} \hat{P}_i - p_k \right| \right\}$$

and two random sets

$$U = \left\{ \left| \frac{N_{k_m}}{N} - P(s_{k_m}) \right| < \beta \right\}, \quad \bar{U} = \left\{ \left| \frac{N_{k_m}}{N} - P(s_{k_m}) \right| \geq \beta \right\}.$$

We have

$$\mathbb{P}\left\{\left|\frac{\hat{P}-P}{P}\right| \geq \alpha\right\} \leq \mathbb{P}\{\bar{U}\} \mathbb{P}\left\{\max_{k \in I_1} \frac{1}{p_k} \left|\frac{1}{NP(s_k)} \sum_{i \in T_k} \hat{P}_i - p_k\right| \geq \alpha \bar{p}_1 \mid \bar{U}\right\} +$$

$$+P\{U\}P\{\max_{k \in I_1} |\frac{1}{NP(s_k)} \sum_{i \in T_k} \hat{P}_i - p_k| \geq \alpha \bar{p}_1 \mid U\} \leq P\{\bar{U}\} + P\{\max_{k \in I_1} |\frac{1}{NP(s_k)} \sum_{i \in T_k} \hat{P}_i - p_k| \geq \alpha \bar{p}_1 \mid U\}.$$

By virtue Lemma 1 and the condition on N we get

$$(\star) \quad P\{|\frac{\hat{P} - P}{P}| \geq \alpha\} \leq \frac{\epsilon}{2} + P\{\max_{k \in I_1} \frac{1}{p_k} |\frac{1}{NP(s_k)R_1} \sum_{i \in T_k} \sum_{l=1}^{R_1} \hat{P}_{il} - p_k| \geq \alpha \bar{p}_1 \mid U\}.$$

By Lemma 2 and the condition on R_1 we have

$$A : P\{|\hat{P} - P| \geq \alpha P\} \leq \frac{\epsilon}{2} + 2e^{-2NR_1 \frac{\alpha^2 \bar{p}_1^2 p_{1,\min}^2}{\alpha \bar{p}_1 + 3P_1}} \leq \frac{\epsilon}{2} + \frac{\epsilon}{2} = \epsilon,$$

$$B : P\{|\hat{P} - P| \geq \alpha P\} \leq \frac{\epsilon}{2} + 2e^{-4NR_1 \frac{\alpha^2 \bar{p}_1^2 p_{1,\min}^2}{\alpha \bar{p}_1 + 4}} \leq \frac{\epsilon}{2} + \frac{\epsilon}{2} = \epsilon.$$

5 ?ESTIMATION ERROR, CASE $M > 1$

Theorem 2: If Assumption (A) holds and $p_{j,\min} > 0$, $j = 1, \dots, M$

$$N \geq 2 \frac{\ln 2 - \ln \epsilon}{p_{1,\min}^2},$$

$$NR_1 R_2 \cdots R_j \geq 2^{j+1} \frac{(j+1) \ln 2 - \ln \epsilon}{p_{1,\min} p_{2,\min} \cdots p_{j,\min} p_{j+1,\min}^2}, \quad 1 \leq j < M$$

and

$$NR_1 R_2 \cdots R_M \geq 2^{M+1} \frac{((M+1) \ln 2 - \ln \epsilon) P_{M/M-1}^2 P_{M-1/M-2}^2 \cdots P_{1/0}^2}{p_{1,\min} p_{2,\min} \cdots p_{M,\min} \alpha^2}$$

then

$$P\{|\hat{P} - P| \geq \alpha\} \leq \epsilon.$$

Proof: The relative error of estimator \hat{P} is derived by induction whose principle is the following: if in a simulation with M thresholds, the retrials generated in the first level are not taken into account except one, we have a simulation with $M - 1$ thresholds.

By Theorem 1 the result of Theorem 2 holds in the case $M=1$.

To go from K to $K + 1$, assume that the result of Theorem 2 holds in the case $M=K$. Thus we have to prove it for $K + 1$ thresholds.

Let's go back to the proof of Theorem 1. Main path of that proof till point (\star) holds in our new case. We continue the proof from (\star) . Consider the last item in (\star)

$$(+)\quad P\{\max_{k \in I_1} \frac{1}{p_k} |\frac{1}{NP(s_k)R_1} \sum_{i \in T_k} \sum_{l=1}^{R_1} \hat{P}_{il} - p_k| \geq \alpha \bar{p}_1 \mid U\}.$$

We need to prove that it is not great then $\epsilon/2$. In the conditions of Theorem 2 we have

$$N_k R_1 \geq p_{1,\min} N R_1 / 2 \geq 2 \frac{\ln 2 - \ln \frac{\epsilon}{2}}{p_{2,\min}^2},$$

$$N_k R_1 R_2 \cdots R_j \geq p_{1,\min} N R_1 R_2 \cdots R_j / 2 \geq 2^j \frac{j \ln 2 - \ln \frac{\epsilon}{2}}{p_{2,\min} \cdots p_{j,\min} p_{j+1,\min}^2}, \quad 2 \leq j < M$$

and

$$N_k R_1 R_2 \cdots R_j \geq p_{1,\min} N R_1 R_2 \cdots R_j / 2 \geq 2^M \frac{(M \ln 2 - \ln \frac{\epsilon}{2}) P_{M/M-1}^2 P_{M-1/M-2}^2 \cdots P_{2/1}^2}{p_{2,\min} \cdots p_{M,\min} \frac{\alpha^2}{P_{1/0}^2}}.$$

Thus we can to apply Theorem 1 to the case

$$M = K, \quad \epsilon = \frac{\epsilon}{2}, \quad \alpha = \frac{\alpha}{P_{1/0}}, \quad N = N_k R_1, \quad R_j = R_{j+1}, \quad j = 1, \dots, K-1$$

and for (+) we get that it is not great then $\epsilon/2$. The proof by induction is completed.

6 NUMERICAL EXAMPLE

6.1 Monte Carlo simulation

To find the probability that from uniformly distributed random variables we can get the value beginning from 0.123 with accuracy $\epsilon = 10^{-3}$. We simulate 3800500 sample on Pentium 800MHz during 1 minute

$$\hat{P} = 9.7750 \cdot 10^{-4}.$$

6.2 One level splitting

We can randomly chose the value from $[0, 1]$ with uniform distribution.

Rare event is $A = \{ \text{the value beginning from 0.1234 or 0.9876} \}$, $P = 0.0002$. Let's $\epsilon = 10^{-4}$.

Consider $B_1 = \{ \text{the value beginning from 0.12 or 0.98} \}$. It is easy to get that $P_{1,\min} = 0.01$, $\bar{P}_1 = 1$, $\bar{p}_1 = 1$ and $p_{1,\min} = 0.01$. From Theorem 1 conditions (A) for $\alpha = 0.5$ we can find

$$N = 1\,907\,400, \quad \text{and} \quad R_1 = 39.$$

The duration of simulation was 1 minute 30 seconds and the result was

$$\hat{P} = 2.0034 \cdot 10^{-4}$$

and by the result of Theorem 1

$$\frac{2}{3} \hat{P} \leq P \leq 2 \hat{P}.$$

There were made 3 350 868
case (B):

$$N = 3\,390\,900, \quad \text{and} \quad R_1 = 16.$$

The duration of simulation was 2 minutes and the result was

$$\hat{P} = 1.9875 \cdot 10^{-4}.$$

There were made 3 350 868 samples which (?)approximately equal to $N + 0.01NR_1 (= 3\,867\,800)$.

(?)Note that for Monte Carlo simulation we need to use $N = 4.9517 \cdot 10^8$ and the duration of simulation would be approximately 130 minutes.

6.3 Multilevel splitting

a).

b). Consider the rare event $A = \{ \text{the value beginning from } 0.123456789 \text{ or } 0.987654321 \}$, $\epsilon = 10^{-9}$.

Consider $M = 4$, $B_1 = \{ \text{the value beginning from } 0.12 \text{ or } 0.98 \}$,
 $B_2 = \{ \text{the value beginning from } 0.1234 \text{ or } 0.9876 \}$,
 $B_3 = \{ \text{the value beginning from } 0.123456 \text{ or } 0.987654 \}$,
 $B_4 = \{ \text{the value beginning from } 0.12345678 \text{ or } 0.98765432 \}$.

It is easy to get that $p_{1,\min} = p_{2,\min} = p_{3,\min} = 0.01$, $p_{4,\min} = 0.1$ and
 $P_1 = 0.02$, $P_{2/1} = P_{3/2} = P_{4/3} = 0.01$.

From Theorem 2 conditions for $\alpha = 10^{-9}$ we can find

$$N = (?)198070, \quad R_1 = 207, \quad R_2 = 206, \quad R_3 = 206 \text{ and } R_4 = 9.$$

The duration of simulation was 6 minutes and the result was

$$\hat{P} = 1.9422 \cdot 10^{-9}.$$

There were made 13 889 000 samples. Note that for Monte Carlo simulation we need to use $1.0708 \cdot 10^{19}$.

6.4 Brown motion

6.5 Brown motion with switching

6.6 Diffusion process

6.7 Diffusion with switching

7 HYBRID SYSTEMS

Let's $\mathbb{X} = \cup_{i \in I_X} \mathbb{X}_i : \mathbb{X}_i \cap \mathbb{X}_j = \emptyset, i \neq j$, e.g. in ATM problem with switching we need to consider $\mathbb{X} = \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{M}$ where \mathbb{M} is a finite set of modes.

Suppose we have a probabilistic measure $\mu(\cdot)$ on \mathbb{X} . Now we can not use the uniform distribution for the simulation and we need to generalize notations and main assumption from section II.

We define for $j = 1, \dots, M + 1$

$$\bar{s}_{(k_1 \dots k_j)}^{(i_0 \dots i_{j-1})} = \{\omega \in s_{k_1 \dots k_j} : \forall l = 1, \dots, j \text{ first of } \{\delta_{l, k_l} \in \omega \cap b_{l, k_l}\} \in \omega \cap b_{l, k_l} \cap \mathbb{X}_{i_{l-1}}\},$$

$$\bar{p}_{(k_1 \dots k_j)}^{(i_0 \dots i_{j-1})} = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } P(\bar{s}_{(k_1 \dots k_j)}^{(i_0 \dots i_{j-1})}) = 0, \\ P(\cup_{k_{j+1} \in I_{j+1}} \dots \cup_{k_{M+1} \in I_{M+1}} \bar{s}_{k_1 \dots k_j, k_{j+1}}^{(i_0 \dots i_{j-1})} \dots k_{M+1} | s_{k_1 \dots k_j}^{(i_0 \dots i_{j-1})}), & \text{if } P(\bar{s}_{(k_1 \dots k_j)}^{(i_0 \dots i_{j-1})}) > 0 \end{cases}$$

and $\forall j > 1$

$$P_{j/j-1} = \max_{k_1 \in I_1} \dots \max_{k_{j-1} \in I_{j-1}} \sum_{k_j \in I_j, P(s_{k_1 \dots k_{j-1}}) > 0} P(s_{k_1 \dots k_j}) / P(s_{k_1 \dots k_{j-1}}),$$

$$P_{1/0} = 1,$$

$$p_{j, \min} = \min\{P(s_{k_1 \dots k_j}) / P(s_{k_1 \dots k_{j-1}}) : P(s_{k_1 \dots k_j}) > 0, k_1 \in I_1, \dots, k_j \in I_j\},$$

$$p_{1, \min} = \min\{P(s_{k_1}) : P(s_{k_1}) > 0, k_1 \in I_1\}.$$

Note that

$$P = \sum_{k_1 \in I_1} P(s_{k_1}) p_{k_1} = \sum_{k_1 \in I_1} P(s_{k_1}) \sum_{k_2 \in I_2} (P(s_{k_1 k_2}) / P(s_{k_1})) p_{k_1 k_2} = \dots$$

MAIN ASSUMPTION

(A') if $P(s_{k_1 \dots k_j}) > 0$ $j > 1$, $k_1 \in I_1, \dots, k_j \in I_j$, $\Delta', \Delta'' \subset s_{k_1 \dots k_j} : P(\Delta') = P(\Delta'') > 0$

then $\forall \bar{\Delta} \subset s_{k_1 \dots k_{j-1}} : P(\bar{\Delta}) > 0$

$$P(\Delta' | \bar{\Delta}) = P(\Delta'' | \bar{\Delta}).$$

ALGORITHM OF SIMULATION

1. $\forall i \in I_X : \mu(S \cap \mathbb{X}_i) > 0$ let's start $N^{(i)}$ trajectories from $S \cap \mathbb{X}_i$ with uniform distribution,
 $M \geq 0$, $R_j^{(i)} > 0$, $R_j^{(i)} \in \mathbb{N}$, $j = 1, \dots, M$, $i \in I_X$.
2. $j = 1$.
3. To kill all trajectories which $\notin S_j$.
4. Each of all rest trajectories ω_t cross the set B_j in some point $\delta_{j, k_t} \in b_{j, k_t}$. For all ω_t splitting $R_j - 1$ times the trajectory ω_t uniformly on b_{j, k_t} . We have got the new $R_j - 1$ trajectories for each of all rest trajectories.

5. If $j < M$ then $j = j + 1$ and GOTO step 3.

ALGORITHM OF ESTIMATION

$$\hat{P} = \frac{N_A}{NR_1 \cdot R_M}$$

where N_A is equal to all number of trajectories which achieve the set A .

Note that by the algorithm of simulation

$$\hat{P} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \hat{P}_i$$

where \hat{P}_i for each of $N > 0$ starting trajectories i is a fraction of the number of its sub-trajectories (include parent) which achieve the set A to the all number of its sub-trajectories. Note, if $\hat{P}_i > 0$ then

$$\hat{P}_i = \frac{1}{R_1} \sum_{l=1}^{R_1} \hat{P}_{il},$$

where \hat{P}_{il} are equal to 1 or 0 depending on the achievement l -th sub-trajectory of set A or not.

\hat{P} is unbiased since

$$E(\hat{P}) = E\left(\frac{N_A}{NR_1 \cdot R_M}\right) = \frac{1}{NR_1 \cdot R_M} \sum_{k_0=1}^N \sum_{k_1=1}^{R_1} \cdot \sum_{k_M=1}^{R_M} E(\mathbf{1}_{k_0} \mathbf{1}_{k_0 k_1} \dots \mathbf{1}_{k_0 \dots k_M}) = P.$$

First consider the case $M = 1$.

In this case we have

$$B_1 = \{b_k, k \in I_1\},$$

$$P = \sum_{k \in I_1} P(s_k) p_k \quad \text{and} \quad P_1 = P_{1/0} = \sum_{k \in I_1} P(s_k).$$

Note that

$$P(s_k) = P\{\omega : \omega \text{ cross } B_1 \text{ first time in the point } \delta_k \in b_k\}.$$

Let $\bar{\Omega}_0 = \{\omega_t, t = 1, \dots, N\}$ be a set of our N initial trajectories, $T_k, k \in I_1$ be the set of indexes t of trajectories which cross B_1 first time in the point $\delta_k \in b_k$. Denote $N_k = |T_k|, k \in I_1$. N_k is a random variable.

Theorem 3: If Assumption (A') holds and $p_{1,\min} > 0$,

$$N \geq 2 \frac{\ln 2 - \ln \epsilon}{p_{1,\min}^2} \quad \text{and} \quad NR_1 \geq \frac{(2 \ln 2 - \ln \epsilon) P_1^2}{p_{1,\min} \alpha^2}$$

then

$$\mathbb{P}\{|\hat{P} - P| \geq \alpha\} \leq \epsilon.$$

Note that by Lemma 1 $\forall k \in I_1$ we have $\mathbb{P}\{N_k < Np_{1,\min}/2\} \leq \frac{\epsilon}{2}$ in Theorem 1 conditions and for R_1 when $\alpha = \epsilon$ we have

$$R_1 \geq \frac{P_1^2(\ln 4 - \ln \epsilon)}{\epsilon^2 \sqrt{2N(\ln 2 - \ln \epsilon)}}.$$

Proof:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}\{|\hat{P} - P| \geq \alpha\} &= \mathbb{P}\left\{\left|\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \hat{P}_i - P\right| \geq \alpha\right\} = \mathbb{P}\left\{\left|\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \hat{P}_i - \sum_{k \in I_1} \mathbb{P}(s_k)p_k\right| \geq \alpha\right\} = \\ &= \mathbb{P}\left\{\left|\sum_{k \in I_1} \mathbb{P}(s_k) \left(\frac{1}{NP(s_k)} \sum_{i \in T_k} \hat{P}_i - p_k\right)\right| \geq \alpha\right\} \leq \mathbb{P}\left\{P_1 \max_{k \in I_1} \left|\frac{1}{NP(s_k)} \sum_{i \in T_k} \hat{P}_i - p_k\right| \geq \alpha\right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Denote

$$k_m = \operatorname{argmax} \left\{ \max_{k \in I_1} \left| \frac{1}{NP(s_k)} \sum_{i \in T_k} \hat{P}_i - p_k \right| \right\},$$

(k_m is the random variable). We have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}\{|\hat{P} - P| \geq \alpha\} &\leq \mathbb{P}\{N_{k_m} < p_{1,\min}N/2\} \mathbb{P}\left\{\max_{k \in I_1} \left|\frac{1}{NP(s_k)} \sum_{i \in T_k} \hat{P}_i - p_k\right| \geq \frac{\alpha}{P_1} \mid N_{k_m} < p_{1,\min}N/2\right\} + \\ &\quad + \mathbb{P}\{N_{k_m} \geq p_{1,\min}N/2\} \mathbb{P}\left\{\max_{k \in I_1} \left|\frac{1}{NP(s_k)} \sum_{i \in T_k} \hat{P}_i - p_k\right| \geq \frac{\alpha}{P_1} \mid N_{k_m} \geq p_{1,\min}N/2\right\} \leq \\ &\leq \mathbb{P}\{N_{k_m} < p_{1,\min}N/2\} + \mathbb{P}\left\{\max_{k \in I_1} \left|\frac{1}{NP(s_k)} \sum_{i \in T_k} \hat{P}_i - p_k\right| \geq \frac{\alpha}{P_1} \mid N_{k_m} \geq p_{1,\min}N/2\right\} \leq \end{aligned}$$

By virtue Lemma 1 and the condition on N we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}\{|\hat{P} - P| \geq \alpha\} &\leq \frac{\epsilon}{2} + \mathbb{P}\left\{\max_{k \in I_1} \left|\frac{1}{N_k} \sum_{i \in T_k} \frac{N_k}{NP(s_k)} \hat{P}_i - p_k\right| \geq \frac{\alpha}{P_1} \mid N_{k_m} \geq p_{1,\min}N/2\right\} = \\ (\star) \quad &= \frac{\epsilon}{2} + \mathbb{P}\left\{\max_{k \in I_1} \left|\frac{1}{N_k R_1} \sum_{i \in T_k} \sum_{l=1}^{R_1} \frac{N_k}{NP(s_k)} \hat{P}_{il} - p_k\right| \geq \frac{\alpha}{P_1} \mid N_{k_m} \geq p_{1,\min}N/2\right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $E(\frac{N_k}{NP(s_k)} \hat{P}_{il}) = p_k$ then by Lemma 2 and the condition on R_1 we have

$$\mathbb{P}\{|\hat{P} - P| \geq \epsilon\} \leq \frac{\epsilon}{2} + 2e^{-p_{1,\min}NR_1\alpha^2P_1^{-2}} \leq \frac{\epsilon}{2} + \frac{\epsilon}{2} = \epsilon.$$

Theorem 4: If Assumption (A') holds and $p_{j,\min} > 0$, $j = 1, \dots, M$

$$N \geq 2 \frac{\ln 2 - \ln \epsilon}{p_{1,\min}^2},$$

$$NR_1 R_2 \cdots R_j \geq 2^{j+1} \frac{(j+1) \ln 2 - \ln \epsilon}{p_{1,\min} p_{2,\min} \cdots p_{j,\min} p_{j+1,\min}^2}, \quad 1 \leq j < M$$

and

$$NR_1 R_2 \cdots R_M \geq 2^{M+1} \frac{((M+1) \ln 2 - \ln \epsilon) P_{M/M-1}^2 P_{M-1/M-2}^2 \cdots P_{1/0}^2}{p_{1,\min} p_{2,\min} \cdots p_{M,\min} \alpha^2}$$

then

$$\mathbb{P}\{|\hat{P} - P| \geq \alpha\} \leq \epsilon.$$

8 CONCLUDING REMARKS

9 APPENDIX

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Lagnoux, “Rare event simulation,” 2003.