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#### Abstract

In this paper we investigate stability and boundedness of solutions of some nonlinear differential equations of third order with delay. By constructing a Lyapunov functional, sufficient conditions for the stability and boundedness of solutions for equations considered are obtained.
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## 1. Introduction

The results existing in the literature on the stability and boundedness of solutions of nonlinear differential equations of third order with bounded delay have been developed over the last several decades. After a literature survey about nonlinear equations of third order with bounded delay, one can conclude that there are not so many results on the stability and boundedness of solutions. Up to this moment, the investigations concerning stability and boundedness of solutions of nonlinear equations of third order with bounded delay have not been fully developed. Certainly, these results should be obtained to be able to benefit from the applications of the theory of stability and boundedness of solutions. At the same time, we should recognize that some significant theoretical results concerning the stability and boundedness of solutions of third order nonlinear differential equations with delay have been achieved, see for example the papers of Sadek [9], Tejumola and Tchegnani [10], Tunç ([11], [12]), Zhu [14] and the references citied in these papers. It should be noted that, in 1969,

Palusinski et al. [8] applied an energy metric algorithm for the generation of a Lyapunov function for third order ordinary nonlinear differential equation of the form:

$$
x^{\prime \prime \prime}+a_{1} x^{\prime \prime}+f_{2}\left(x^{\prime}\right) x^{\prime}+a_{3} x=0 .
$$

They found some conditions for the stability of zero solution of this equation as follows:

$$
a_{1}>0, f_{2}\left(x^{\prime}\right)>a_{3}>0 .
$$

In this paper we are concerned with the third order ordinary nonlinear delay differential equations of the type

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{\prime \prime \prime}(t)+a_{1} x^{\prime \prime}(t)+f_{2}\left(x^{\prime}(t-r(t))+a_{3} x(t)=p\left(t, x(t), x^{\prime}(t), x(t-r(t)), x^{\prime}(t-r(t)), x^{\prime \prime}(t)\right)\right. \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

or its equivalent system

$$
\begin{align*}
x^{\prime}(t)= & y(t), y^{\prime}(t)=z(t), \\
z^{\prime}(t)= & -a_{1} z(t)-f_{2}(y(t))-a_{3} x(t)+\int_{t-r(t)}^{t} f_{2}^{\prime}(y(s)) z(s) d s \\
& +p(t, x(t), y(t), x(t-r(t)), y(t-r(t)), z(t)), \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

where $r$ is a bounded delay, $0 \leq r(t) \leq \gamma, r^{\prime}(t) \leq \beta, 0<\beta<1, \beta$ and $\gamma$ are some positive constants, $\gamma$ which will be determined later; $a_{1}$ and $a_{3}$ are some positive constants; the functions $f_{2}$ and $p$ depend only on the arguments displayed explicitly and the primes in equation (1) denote differentiation with respect to $t$. It is principally assumed that $f_{2}(0)=0$ and the functions $f_{2}$ and $p$ are continuous for all values their respective arguments on $\mathfrak{R}$ and $\mathfrak{R}^{+} \times \mathfrak{R}^{5}, \mathfrak{R}^{+}=(0, \infty)$, respectively. This fact guarantees the existence of the solution of delay differential equation (1). Besides, it is supposed that the derivative $f_{2}^{\prime}(y) \equiv \frac{d f_{2}}{d y}$ exists and is continuous. In addition, it is also assumed that the functions $f_{2}(y(t-r(t)))$ and $p(t, x(t), y(t), x(t-r(t)), y(t-r(t)), z(t)) \quad$ satisfy a Lipschitz condition in $x(t), y(t), x(t-r(t)), y(t-r(t))$ and $z(t)$; throughout the paper $x(t), y(t)$ and $z(t)$ are, respectively, abbreviated as $x, y$ and $z$. Then the solution is unique (See [2, pp.14].)

The motivation for the present work has been inspired basically by the paper of Palusinski et al. [8] and the papers mentioned above. Our aim here is to discuss the result verified by Palusinski et al. [8] on the stability of the solutions to the equation (1) for the stability and boundedness of solutions of this equation in the case $p=0$ and $p \neq 0$, respectively.

## 2. Preliminaries

In order to reach our main result, we give some important basic information for the general nonautonomous delay differential system (see also Burton [1], Èl'sgol'ts [2], Èl'sgol'ts and Norkin [3], Hale [4], Kolmanovskii and Myshkis [5], Kolmanovskii and Nosov [6], Krasovskii [7] and Yoshizawa [13].

Now, we consider the general non-autonomous delay differential system

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{x}=f\left(t, x_{t}\right), x_{t}(\theta)=x(t+\theta),-r \leq \theta \leq 0, t \geq 0, \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f:[0, \infty) \times C_{H} \rightarrow \mathfrak{R}^{n}$ is a continuous mapping, $f(t, 0)=0$, and we suppose that $f$ takes closed bounded sets into bounded sets of $\mathfrak{R}^{n}$. Here $(C,\|\cdot\|)$ is the Banach space of continuous function $\phi:[-r, 0] \rightarrow \mathfrak{R}^{n} \quad$ with $\quad$ supremum norm, $r>0, C_{H}$ is the open $H$-ball in $C$; $C_{H}:=\left\{\phi \in\left(C[-r, 0], \mathfrak{R}^{n}\right):\|\phi\|<H\right\}$. Standard existence theory, see Burton [1, pp.312], shows that if $\phi \in C_{H}$ and $t \geq 0$, then there is at least one continuous solution $x\left(t, t_{0}, \phi\right)$ such that on $\left[t_{0}, t_{0}+\alpha\right)$ satisfying equation (3) for $t>t_{0}, \quad x_{t_{0}}(s, t, \phi)=\phi_{t_{0}}(s)$ and $\alpha$ is a positive constant. If there is a closed subset $B \subset C_{H}$ such that the solution remains in $B$, then $\alpha=\infty$. Further, the symbol $|$.$| will denote$ the norm in $\mathfrak{R}^{n}$ with $|x|=\max _{1 \leq i \leq n}\left|x_{i}\right|$.

Definition 1: (See [1, pp.223].) A continuous function $W:[0, \infty) \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ with $W(0)=0$, $W(s)>0$ if $s>0$, and $W$ strictly increasing is a wedge. (We denote wedges by $W$ or $W_{i}$, where $i$ an integer.)

Definition 2: (See [1, pp. 260].) Let $V(t, \phi)$ be a continuous functional defined for $t \geq 0$, $\phi \in C_{H}$. The derivative of $V$ along solutions of (3) will be denoted by $\dot{V}_{(3)}$ and is defined by the following relation

$$
\dot{V}_{(3)}(t, \phi)=\limsup _{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{V\left(t+h, x_{t+h}\left(t_{0}, \phi\right)\right)-V\left(t, x_{t}\left(t_{0}, \phi\right)\right)}{h},
$$

where $x\left(t_{0}, \phi\right)$ is the solution of (3) with $x_{t_{0}}\left(t_{0}, \phi\right)=\phi$.
Definition 3: (See [13, pp.184].) A function $x\left(t_{0}, \phi\right)$ is said to be a solution of (3) with the initial condition $\phi \in C_{H}$ at $t=t_{0}, t_{0} \geq 0$, if there is a constant $A>0$ such that $x\left(t_{0}, \phi\right)$ is a function from $\left[t_{0}-h, t_{0}+A\right]$ into $\mathfrak{R}^{n}$ with the properties:
(i) $x_{t}\left(t_{0}, \phi\right) \in C_{H}$ for $t_{0} \leq t<t_{0}+A$,
(ii) $x_{t_{0}}\left(t_{0}, \phi\right)=\phi$,
(iii) $x\left(t_{0}, \phi\right)$ satisfies (3) for $t_{0} \leq t<t_{0}+A$.

Theorem 1: (See [13, pp.184].) If $f(t, \phi)$ in (3) is continuous in $t, \phi$, for every $\phi \in C_{H_{1}}, H_{1}<H$, and $t_{0}, 0 \leq t_{0}<c$, where $c$ is a positive constant, then there exist a solution of (3) with initial value $\phi$ at $t=t_{0}$, and this solution has a continuous derivative for $t>t_{0}$.

For the general autonomous delay differential system $\dot{x}=f\left(x_{t}\right)$, which is a special case of (3), the following lemma is given.

Proposition: (See [7].) Suppose $f(0)=0$. Let $V$ be a continuous functional defined on $C_{H}=C$ with $V(0)=0$, and let $u(s)$ be a function, non-negative and continuous for $0 \leq s<\infty, u(s) \rightarrow \infty$ as $u \rightarrow \infty$ such that for all $\phi \in C$
(i) $u(\phi(0) \mid) \leq V(\phi), V(\phi) \geq 0$,
(ii) $\dot{V}_{(3)}(\phi)<0$ for $\phi \neq 0$.

Then all solutions of $\dot{x}=f\left(x_{t}\right)$ approach zero as $t \rightarrow \infty$ and the origin is globally asymptotically stable.

Note that $C_{H}=C$ when $H=\infty$; and that the set $R$ of $\phi$ in $C$ for which $\dot{V}_{(3)}(\phi)=0$ has a largest invariant set $M=\{0\}$ by the condition $\dot{V}_{(3)}(\phi)<0$ for $\phi \neq 0$.

## 3. Main results

First for the case $p(t, x(t), y(t), x(t-r(t)), y(t-r(t)), z(t))=0$ the following result is established.

Theorem 2: In addition to the basic assumptions imposed on the functions $f_{2}$ and $p$ that appeared in equation (1), we assume that there are positive constants $a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}, \varepsilon_{0}, L$ and $\mu$ such that the following conditions are satisfied

$$
a_{1} a_{2}-a_{3}>0, f_{2}(0)=0, \frac{f_{2}(y)}{y}-a_{2} \geq \varepsilon_{0},(y \neq 0), \text { and }\left|f_{2}^{\prime}(y)\right| \leq L \text { for all } y .
$$

Then for sufficiently small $\gamma$ the zero solution of (1) is globally asymptotically stable provided that

$$
\gamma<\min \left\{\frac{2 \varepsilon_{0}}{L}, \frac{2\left(a_{1} a_{2}-a_{3}\right)}{a_{2} L+2 \mu}\right\} .
$$

Proof: The proof of this theorem depends on a scalar differentiable Lyapunov functional. $V=$ $V\left(x_{t}, y_{t}, z_{t}\right)$. The idea of Lyapunov's method is to impose some conditions on the functional $V$ and its time derivative $\frac{d}{d t} V\left(x_{t}, y_{t}, z_{t}\right)$ which both imply the stability of the zero solution of equation (1). We introduce the Lyapunov's functional $V=V\left(x_{t}, y_{t}, z_{t}\right)$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
V\left(x_{t}, y_{t}, z_{t}\right)= & \frac{1}{2} a_{3}^{2} x^{2}+a_{2} a_{3} x y+\frac{1}{2} a_{2} z^{2}+a_{3} y z+a_{2} \int_{0}^{y} f_{2}(\xi) d \xi \\
& +\frac{1}{2} a_{1} a_{3} y^{2}+\mu \int_{-r(t)}^{0} \int_{t+s}^{t} z^{2}(\theta) d \theta d s \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

where $a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}$ and $\mu$ are some positive constants and the constant $\mu$ which will be determined later in the proof. Now, the Lyapunov functional $V=V\left(x_{t}, y_{t}, z_{t}\right)$ defined in (4) can be rearranged in the form:

$$
V\left(x_{t}, y_{t}, z_{t}\right)=\frac{1}{2} a_{3}^{2}\left(x+\frac{a_{2}}{a_{3}} y\right)^{2}+\frac{1}{2} a_{2}\left(z+\frac{a_{3}}{a_{2}} y\right)^{2}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
+\int_{0}^{y}\left[a_{1} a_{3}-a_{2}^{2}-\frac{a_{3}^{2}}{a_{2}}+a_{2} \frac{f_{2}(\xi)}{\xi}\right] \xi d \xi+\mu \int_{-r(t)}^{0} \int_{t+s}^{t} z^{2}(\theta) d \theta d s \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

In view of the assumption $\frac{f_{2}(y)}{y} \geq a_{2}+\varepsilon_{0}$, it is clear that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{0}^{y}\left[a_{1} a_{3}-a_{2}^{2}-\frac{a_{3}^{2}}{a_{2}}+a_{2} \frac{f_{2}(\xi)}{\xi}\right] & \xi d \xi \geq \int_{0}^{y}\left[a_{1} a_{3}-a_{2}^{2}-\frac{a_{3}^{2}}{a_{2}}+a_{2}\left(a_{2}+\varepsilon_{0}\right)\right] \xi d \xi \\
& =\int_{0}^{y}\left[a_{1} a_{3}-\frac{a_{3}^{2}}{a_{2}}+a_{2} \varepsilon_{0}\right] \xi d \xi \\
& =\left(\frac{a_{1} a_{2} a_{3}-a_{3}^{2}+a_{2}^{2} \varepsilon_{0}}{2 a_{2}}\right) y^{2}>0
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, it is evident, from the terms contained in (5), that there exist sufficiently small positive constants $D_{i},(i=1,2,3)$, such that

$$
\begin{align*}
V & \geq D_{1} x^{2}+D_{2} y^{2}+D_{3} z^{2}+\mu \int_{-r(t)}^{0} \int_{t+s}^{t} z^{2}(\theta) d \theta d s \\
& \geq D_{4}\left(x^{2}+y^{2}+z^{2}\right), \tag{6}
\end{align*}
$$

since the integral $\mu \int_{-r(t)}^{0} \int_{t+s}^{t} z^{2}(\theta) d \theta d s$ is non-negative, where $D_{4}=\min \left\{D_{1}, D_{2}, D_{3}\right\}$.
Now, calculating the time derivative of the functional $V\left(x_{t}, y_{t}, z_{t}\right)$ with respect to $t$ along a solution $(x(t), y(t), z(t))$ of the system (2), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{d}{d t} V\left(x_{t}, y_{t}, z_{t}\right)= & -\left(a_{1} a_{2}-a_{3}\right) z^{2}-a_{3}\left(\frac{f_{2}(y)}{y}-a_{2}\right) y^{2} \\
& +a_{2} z \int_{t-r(t)}^{t} f_{2}^{\prime}(y(s)) z(s) d s+a_{3} y \int_{t-r(t)}^{t} f_{2}^{\prime}(y(s)) z(s) d s \\
& +\mu r(t) z^{2}-\mu\left(1-r^{\prime}(t)\right) \int_{t-r(t)}^{t} z^{2}(s) d s \\
= & -\left(a_{1} a_{2}-a_{3}-\mu r(t)\right) z^{2}-a_{3}\left(\frac{f_{2}(y)}{y}-a_{2}\right) y^{2} \\
& +a_{2} z \int_{t-r(t)}^{t} f_{2}^{\prime}(y(s)) z(s) d s+a_{3} y \int_{t-r(t)}^{t} f_{2}^{\prime}(y(s)) z(s) d s \\
& -\mu\left(1-r^{\prime}(t)\right) \int_{t-r(t)}^{t} z^{2}(s) d s . \tag{7}
\end{align*}
$$
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By noting the assumption $\left|f_{2}^{\prime}(y)\right| \leq L$ and the inequality $2|a b| \leq a^{2}+b^{2}$, we obtain the following relations:

$$
a_{2} z \int_{t-r(t)}^{t} f_{2}^{\prime}(y(s)) z(s) d s \leq \frac{a_{2} L}{2} r(t) z^{2}(t)+\frac{a_{2} L}{2} \int_{t-r(t)}^{t} z^{2}(s) d s
$$

and

$$
a_{3} y \int_{t-r(t)}^{t} f_{2}^{\prime}(y(s)) z(s) d s \leq \frac{a_{3} L}{2} r(t) y^{2}(t)+\frac{a_{3} L}{2} \int_{t-r(t)}^{t} z^{2}(s) d s
$$

Hence, using the assumptions $\frac{f_{2}(y)}{y}-a_{2} \geq \varepsilon_{0}, 0 \leq r(t) \leq \gamma, r^{\prime}(t) \leq \beta, 0<\beta<1$, and the above discussion, we get from (7) that

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{d}{d t} V\left(x_{t}, y_{t}, z_{t}\right) \leq & -a_{3}\left[\left(\frac{f_{2}(y)}{y}-a_{2}\right)-\frac{L}{2} r(t)\right] y^{2} \\
& -\left[\left(a_{1} a_{2}-a_{3}\right)-\left(\frac{a_{2} L+2 \mu}{2}\right) r(t)\right] z^{2} \\
& +\left(a_{2}+a_{3}\right) \frac{L}{2} \int_{t \rightarrow r(t)}^{t} z^{2}(s) d s-\mu\left(1-r^{\prime}(t)\right) \int_{t-r(t)}^{t} z^{2}(s) d s \\
\leq & -a_{3}\left[\varepsilon_{0}-\frac{L}{2} \gamma\right] y^{2}-\left[\left(a_{1} a_{2}-a_{3}\right)-\left(\frac{a_{2} L+2 \mu}{2}\right) \gamma\right] z^{2} \\
& +\left[\frac{\left(a_{2}+a_{3}\right) L-\mu(1-\beta)}{2}\right] \int_{t-r(t)}^{t} z^{2}(s) d s . \tag{8}
\end{align*}
$$

If we choose $\mu=\frac{\left(a_{2}+a_{3}\right) L}{1-\beta}$, then we have from (8) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d t} V\left(x_{t}, y_{t}, z_{t}\right) \leq-a_{3}\left[\varepsilon_{0}-\frac{L}{2} \gamma\right] y^{2}-\left[\left(a_{1} a_{2}-a_{3}\right)-\left(\frac{a_{2} L+2 \mu}{2}\right) \gamma\right] z^{2} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, in view of (9), one can conclude for some positive constants $\alpha$ and $\rho$ that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d t} V\left(x_{t}, y_{t}, z_{t}\right) \leq-\alpha y^{2}-\rho z^{2} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

provided

$$
\gamma<\min \left\{\frac{2 \varepsilon_{0}}{L}, \frac{2\left(a_{1} a_{2}-a_{3}\right)}{a_{2} L+2 \mu}\right\} .
$$

Finally, it is followed that $\frac{d}{d t} V\left(x_{t}, y_{t}, z_{t}\right) \equiv 0$ if and only if $y_{t}=z_{t}=0, \frac{d}{d t} V(\phi)<0$ for $\phi \neq 0$ and $V(\phi) \geq u(|\phi(0)|) \geq 0$. Thus, in view (6), (10) and the last discussion, it is seen that all the conditions of
the above Proposition are satisfied. This shows that the trivial solution of equation (1) is globally asymptotically stable. Hence, the proof of Theorem 2 is complete.

Example 1: Consider the third order nonlinear delay differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{\prime \prime \prime}(t)+3 x^{\prime \prime}(t)+4 x^{\prime}(t-r(t))+\sin x^{\prime}(t-r(t))+2 x(t)=0 \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation (11) is equivalent to the system

$$
\begin{align*}
& x^{\prime}(t)=y(t), y^{\prime}(t)=z(t) \\
& z^{\prime}(t)=-3 z(t)-4 y(t)-\sin y(t)-2 x(t)+\int_{t-r(t)}^{t}(4+\cos y(s)) z(s) d s \tag{12}
\end{align*}
$$

where we suppose that $0 \leq r(t) \leq \gamma, r^{\prime}(t) \leq \beta, 0<\beta<1, \beta$ and $\gamma$ are positive constants, $\gamma$ which will be determined later, $t \in[0, \infty)$. It is obvious that

$$
3 \leq 4+\frac{\sin y}{y}
$$

for all $y,(y \neq 0)$.
Our main tool is the Lyapunov functional

$$
\begin{align*}
& V\left(x_{t}, y_{t}, z_{t}\right)=2\left(x+\frac{y}{2}\right)^{2}+\frac{1}{2}(z+2 y)^{2}+\int_{0}^{y}\left[5+\frac{\sin \xi}{\xi}\right] \xi d \xi \\
& \quad+\mu \int_{-r(t)}^{0} \int_{t+s}^{t} z^{2}(\theta) d \theta d s \tag{13}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\mu$ is a positive constant which will be determined later.
It is clear that the functional $V\left(x_{t}, y_{t}, z_{t}\right)$ is positive definite. Hence, it is evident, from the terms contained in (13), that there exist sufficiently small positive constants $D_{i},(i=5,6,7)$, such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& V\left(x_{t}, y_{t}, z_{t}\right) \geq D_{5} x^{2}+D_{6} y^{2}+D_{7} z^{2}+\mu \int_{-r(t)}^{0} \int_{t+s}^{t} z^{2}(\theta) d \theta d s \\
& \quad \geq D_{5} x^{2}+D_{6} y^{2}+D_{7} z^{2} \\
& \quad \geq D_{8}\left(x^{2}+y^{2}+z^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $D_{8}=\min \left\{D_{5}, D_{6}, D_{7}\right\}$.
Now, the time derivative of the functional $V\left(x_{t}, y_{t}, z_{t}\right)$ in (13) with respect to the system (12) can be calculated as follows:

$$
\frac{d}{d t} V\left(x_{t}, y_{t}, z_{t}\right)=-(1-\mu r(t)) z^{2}-2\left(3+\frac{\sin y}{y}\right) y^{2}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& +z \int_{t-r(t)}^{t}(4+\cos y(s)) z(s) d s+2 y \int_{t-r(t)}^{t}(4+\cos y(s)) z(s) d s \\
& -\mu\left(1-r^{\prime}(t)\right) \int_{t-r(t)}^{t} z^{2}(s) d s \tag{14}
\end{align*}
$$

Making use of the facts $|4+\cos y| \leq 5,\left|\frac{\sin y}{y}\right| \leq 1, \quad 0 \leq r(t) \leq \gamma, \quad r^{\prime}(t) \leq \beta, \quad 0<\beta<1$ and the inequality $2|u v| \leq u^{2}+v^{2}$, we obtain the following inequalities for all terms contained in the equality (14), respectively:

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
-(1-\mu r(t)) z^{2} \leq-(1-\mu \gamma) z^{2} \\
-2\left(3+\frac{\sin y}{y}\right) y^{2} \leq-4 y^{2}, \\
z \int_{t-r(t)}^{t}(4+\cos y(s)) z(s) d s \leq \frac{5}{2} r(t) z^{2}(t)+\frac{5}{2} \int_{t-r(t)}^{t} z^{2}(s) d s \\
\leq \frac{5 \gamma}{2} z^{2}(t)+\frac{5}{2} \int_{t-r(t)}^{t} z^{2}(s) d s \\
2 y \int_{t-r(t)}^{t}(4+\cos y(s)) z(s) d s
\end{array}\right) \leq 5 r(t) y^{2}(t)+5 \int_{t-r(t)}^{t} z^{2}(s) d s
$$

and

$$
-\mu\left(1-r^{\prime}(t)\right) \int_{t-r(t)}^{t} z^{2}(s) d s \leq-\mu(1-\beta) \int_{t-r(t)}^{t} z^{2}(s) d s
$$

Gathering all of these inequalities into (14), we have

$$
\frac{d}{d t} V\left(x_{t}, y_{t}, z_{t}\right) \leq-2\left(2-\frac{5 \gamma}{2}\right) y^{2}-\left(1-\left(\mu+\frac{5}{2}\right) \gamma\right) z^{2}-\left(\mu(1-\beta)-\frac{15}{2}\right) \int_{t-r(t)}^{t} z^{2}(s) d s
$$

Let us choose $\mu=\frac{15}{2(1-\beta)}$. Then, it easy to see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d t} V\left(x_{t}, y_{t}, z_{t}\right) \leq-2\left(2-\frac{5 \gamma}{2}\right) y^{2}-\left(1-\left(\mu+\frac{5}{2}\right) \gamma\right) z^{2} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, in view of (15), one can conclude for some positive constants $\alpha$ and $\rho$ that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d t} V\left(x_{t}, y_{t}, z_{t}\right) \leq-\alpha y^{2}-\rho z^{2} . \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

provided

$$
\gamma<\min \left\{\frac{2}{2 \mu+5}, \frac{4}{5}\right\}
$$

It is also easy to see that $\frac{d}{d t} V\left(x_{t}, y_{t}, z_{t}\right) \equiv 0$ if and only if $z_{t}=x_{t}=0, \frac{d}{d t} V(\phi)<0$ for $\phi \neq 0$ and $V(\phi) \geq u(|\phi(0)|) \geq 0$. Thus all the conditions of the above Proposition are satisfied. This shows that the trivial solution of equation (11) is globally asymptotically stable.

For the case $p(t, x(t), y(t), x(t-r(t)), y(t-r(t)), z(t)) \neq 0$ the following result is established.
Theorem 3: In addition to the basic assumptions imposed on the functions $f_{2}$ and $p$ that appeared in equation (1), we assume that there are positive constants $a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}, \varepsilon_{0}, L, \mu, H$ and $H_{1}$ such that the following conditions are satisfied for every $x, y$ and $z$ in

$$
\Omega:=\left\{(x, y, z) \in \mathfrak{R}^{3}:|x|<H_{1},|y|<H_{1},|z|<H_{1}, H_{1}<H\right\}:
$$

(i) $a_{1} a_{2}-a_{3}>0, f_{2}(0)=0, \frac{f_{2}(y)}{y}-a_{2} \geq \varepsilon_{0},(y \neq 0)$, and $\left|f_{2}^{\prime}(y)\right| \leq L$.
(ii) $|p(t, x(t), y(t), x(t-r(t)), y(t-r(t)), z(t))| \leq q(t)$,
where $\max q(t)<\infty$ and $q \in L^{1}(0, \infty), L^{1}(0, \infty)$ is space of integrable Lebesgue functions.
Then, there exists a finite positive constant $K$ such that the solution $x(t)$ of equation (1) defined by the initial functions

$$
x(t)=\phi(t), x^{\prime}(t)=\phi^{\prime}(t), x^{\prime \prime}(t)=\phi^{\prime \prime}(t)
$$

satisfies the inequalities

$$
|x(t)| \leq K,\left|x^{\prime}(t)\right| \leq K,\left|x^{\prime \prime}(t)\right| \leq K
$$

for all $t \geq t_{0}$, where $\phi \in C^{2}\left(\left[t_{0}-r, t_{0}\right], \mathfrak{R}\right)$, provided that

$$
\gamma<\min \left\{\frac{2 \varepsilon_{0}}{L}, \frac{2\left(a_{1} a_{2}-a_{3}\right)}{a_{2} L+2 \mu}\right\} .
$$

Proof: As in the Theorem 2, the proof of this theorem also depends on the scalar differentiable Lyapunov functional $V=V\left(x_{t}, y_{t}, z_{t}\right)$, which is defined in (4). Now, since $p(t, x(t), y(t), x(t-r(t)), y(t-r(t)), z(t)) \neq 0$, in view of (4), (2) and (10), it can be easily followed that the time derivative of the functional $V\left(x_{t}, y_{t}, z_{t}\right)$ satisfies the following inequality:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{d t} V\left(x_{t}, y_{t}, z_{t}\right) & \leq-\alpha y^{2}-\rho z^{2}+\left|a_{3} y+a_{2} z\right| \cdot|p(t, x(t), y(t), x(t-r(t)), y(t-r(t)), y(t))| \\
& \leq-\alpha y^{2}-\rho z^{2}+\left|a_{3} y+a_{2} z\right| q(t)
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{d t} V\left(x_{t}, y_{t}, z_{t}\right) & \leq-\alpha y^{2}-\rho z^{2}+D_{9}(|y|+|z|) q(t) \\
& \leq D_{9}(|y|+|z|) q(t)
\end{aligned}
$$

for a constant $D_{9}>0$, where $D_{9}=\max \left\{a_{2}, a_{3}\right\}$.
Making use of the inequalities $|y|<1+y^{2}$ and $|z|<1+z^{2}$, it is clear that

$$
\frac{d}{d t} V\left(x_{t}, y_{t}, z_{t}\right) \leq D_{9}\left(2+y^{2}+z^{2}\right) q(t) .
$$

By (6), we have

$$
\left(y^{2}+z^{2}\right) \leq D_{4}^{-1} V\left(x_{t}, y_{t}, z_{t}\right) .
$$

Hence

$$
\frac{d}{d t} V\left(x_{t}, y_{t}, z_{t}\right) \leq D_{9}\left(2+D_{4}^{-1} V\left(x_{t}, y_{t}, z_{t}\right)\right) q(t) .
$$

Now, integrating the last inequality from 0 to $t$, using the assumption $q \in L^{1}(0, \infty)$ and Gronwall-Reid-Bellman inequality, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
V\left(x_{t}, y_{t}, z_{t}\right) & \leq V\left(x_{0}, y_{0}, z_{0}\right)+2 D_{9} A+D_{9} D_{4}^{-1} \int_{0}^{t}\left(V\left(x_{s}, y_{s}, z_{s}\right)\right) q(s) d s \\
& \leq\left(V\left(x_{0}, y_{0}, z_{0}\right)+2 D_{9} A\right) \exp \left(D_{9} D_{4}^{-1} \int_{0}^{t} q(s) d s\right) \\
& \leq\left(V\left(x_{0}, y_{0}, z_{0}\right)+2 D_{9} A\right) \exp \left(D_{9} D_{4}^{-1} A\right)=K_{1}<\infty \tag{17}
\end{align*}
$$

where $K_{1}>0$ is a constant, $K_{1}=\left(V\left(x_{0}, y_{0}, z_{0}\right)+2 D_{9} A\right) \exp \left(D_{9} D_{4}^{-1} A\right)$ and $A=\int_{0}^{\infty} q(s) d s$.
Now, the inequalities (6) and (17) together yield that

$$
x^{2}(t)+y^{2}(t)+z^{2}(t) \leq D_{4}^{-1} V\left(x_{t}, y_{t}, z_{t}\right) \leq K,
$$

where $K=K_{1} D_{4}^{-1}$. Thus, we conclude that

$$
|x(t)| \leq K,|y(t)| \leq K,|z(t)| \leq K
$$

for all $t \geq t_{0}$. That is,

$$
|x(t)| \leq K,\left|x^{\prime}(t)\right| \leq K,\left|x^{\prime \prime}(t)\right| \leq K
$$

for all $t \geq t_{0}$.
The proof of the theorem is now complete.

Example 2: Consider the third order nonlinear delay differential equation

$$
\begin{align*}
x^{\prime \prime \prime}(t) & +3 x^{\prime \prime}(t)+4 x^{\prime}(t-r(t))+\sin x^{\prime}(t-r(t))+2 x(t) \\
& =\frac{2}{1+t^{2}+x^{2}(t)+x^{\prime 2}(t)+x^{2}(t-r(t))+x^{\prime 2}(t-r(t))+x^{\prime \prime 2}(t)} . \tag{18}
\end{align*}
$$

Clearly, equation (18) is equivalent to the system

$$
\begin{align*}
x^{\prime}(t)= & y(t), y^{\prime}(t)=z(t), \\
z^{\prime}(t)= & -3 z(t)-4 y(t)-\sin y(t)-2 x(t)+\int_{t-r(t)}^{t}(4+\cos y(t)) z(s) d s \\
& +\frac{2}{1+t^{2}+x^{2}(t)+y^{2}(t)+x^{2}(t-r(t))+y^{2}(t-r(t))+z^{2}(t)}, \tag{19}
\end{align*}
$$

Observe that

$$
\frac{2}{1+t^{2}+x^{2}(t)+y^{2}(t)+x^{2}(t-r(t))+y^{2}(t-r(t))+z^{2}(t)} \leq \frac{2}{1+t^{2}}=q(t)
$$

for all $t \in \mathfrak{R}^{+}, x(t), y(t), x(t-r(t)), y(t-r(t)), z(t)$, and

$$
\int_{0}^{\infty} q(s) d s=\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{2}{1+s^{2}} d s=\pi<\infty, \text { that is, } q \in L^{1}(0, \infty)
$$

To show the boundedness of the solutions we use as a main tool the Lyapunov functional in (13). Now, in view of (16), the time derivative of the functional $V\left(x_{t}, y_{t}, z_{t}\right)$ with respect to the system (19) can be revised as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d t} V\left(x_{t}, y_{t}, z_{t}\right)=-\alpha y^{2}-\rho z^{2}+\frac{4 y+2 z}{1+t^{2}+x^{2}(t)+y^{2}(t)+x^{2}(t-r(t))+y^{2}(t-r(t))+z^{2}(t)} . \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Making use of the fact

$$
\frac{1}{1+t^{2}+x^{2}(t)+y^{2}(t)+x^{2}(t-r(t))+y^{2}(t-r(t))+z^{2}(t)} \leq \frac{1}{1+t^{2}}
$$

we get

$$
\frac{d}{d t} V\left(x_{t}, y_{t}, z_{t}\right) \leq-\alpha y^{2}-\rho z^{2}+\frac{2|2 y+z|}{1+t^{2}} .
$$

Hence, it is obvious that

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{d}{d t} V\left(x_{t}, y_{t}, z_{t}\right) & \leq \frac{2|2 y+z|}{1+t^{2}} \leq \frac{4(|y|+|z|)}{1+t^{2}} \\
& \leq \frac{4\left(2+y^{2}+z^{2}\right)}{1+t^{2}} \leq \frac{8}{1+t^{2}}+\frac{4\left(y^{2}+z^{2}\right)}{1+t^{2}} \\
& \leq \frac{8}{1+t^{2}}+\frac{4 D_{8}^{-1}}{1+t^{2}} V\left(x_{t}, y_{t}, z_{t}\right) \tag{21}
\end{align*}
$$

Now, integrating (21) from 0 to $t$, using the fact $\frac{1}{1+t^{2}} \in L^{1}(0, \infty)$ and Gronwall-Reid-Bellman inequality, it can be easily concluded the boundedness of all solutions of equation (18).
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